



11-24-06

3639  
JW

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)  
Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b>  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application Number	09/469,561-Conf. #5104
		Filing Date	December 22, 1999
		First Named Inventor	Martin J. Pagel
		Art Unit	3639
		Examiner Name	R. Wu
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	3	Attorney Docket Number	61135/P016US/10106022

**ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)**

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Record of Interview with Examiner Return Postcard
<b>Remarks</b>		

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name	FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.		
Signature			
Printed name	R. Ross Viguet		
Date	November 22, 2006	Reg. No.	42,203

**Transmittal**I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV 568240612US, on the date shown below in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: November 22, 2006

Signature: (Gail Miller)



I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV 568240612US on the date shown below in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: Nov. 22, 2006

Signature:

*Gail L. Miller*  
(Gail L. Miller)

Docket No.: 61135/P016US/10106022  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Martin J. Pagel

Application No.: 09/469,561

Confirmation No.: 5104

Filed: December 22, 1999

Art Unit: 3639

For: POSTAL PRINTER DRIVER SYSTEM AND  
METHOD

Examiner: R. Wu

**APPLICANT'S RECORD UNDER M.P.E.P. § 713.04 OF INTERVIEW  
WITH THE EXAMINER**

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant's attorneys appreciate the Examiners' time and consideration in conducting the telephone interview of November 14, 2006. Applicant respectfully submits the following record of the telephone interview under M.P.E.P. § 713.04.

The following persons participated in the interview: Examiners John Hayes and Rutao Wu, Applicant's Attorneys R. Ross Viguet (reg.# 42,203) and Wayne Livingstone.

Applicant's attorney pointed out how the independent claims are distinct from the applied art. Specifically, with regard to claims 1, 23 and 33 the requirement that data is accepted from a source other than the data stream was discussed. With regard to claims 17 and 41, the requirement that abstracted data bits are used to control the printing of postage

indicia was discussed. Examiner Hayes agreed that the cited references did not teach the limitations discussed and he would reconsider the rejections in view of the comments made in the interview and arguments in Applicant's response to the Office Action.

Dated: November 22, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By R. Ross Viguet  
R. Ross Viguet  
Registration No.: 42,203  
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.  
2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2800  
Dallas, Texas 75201-2784  
(214) 855-8185  
(214) 855-8200 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant